



BLOCKCHAIN COMMONS

POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

SYMMETRIC ENCRYPTION

- ▶ ChaCha20-Poly1305
 - ▶ ChaCha20: 256-bit stream cipher
 - ▶ Requires 2^{256} operations to brute force
 - ▶ Requires 2^{128} under quantum attack (Grover's algorithm): still infeasible
 - ▶ Poly1305: 128-bit one-time authenticator
 - ▶ Requires 2^{128} operations to brute force
 - ▶ Requires 2^{64} under quantum attack: not ideal, still beyond practical feasibility.
- ▶ Verdict: even with quantum attacks, ChaCha20-Poly1305 remains secure for the foreseeable future, though post-quantum cryptography research is ongoing to find even more robust replacements.



WHY POST-QUANTUM PUBLIC-KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY?

- ▶ **Symmetric encryption (ChaCha20-Poly1305, AES-256, etc.) survives quantum attacks**
 - ▶ Grover's algorithm reduces security by half (e.g., 256-bit → 128-bit), but this is still strong
 - ▶ Solution: Use 256-bit keys instead of 128-bit keys
- ▶ **Public-key cryptography (RSA, ECC, DH) is completely broken by Shor's algorithm**
 - ▶ Shor's algorithm solves factoring & discrete log in polynomial time → zero security
 - ▶ All current asymmetric systems fail under large quantum computers
 - ▶ Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) is needed to replace public-key cryptosystems
- ▶ **Symmetric crypto stays (with larger keys), but public-key crypto must be replaced**
 - ▶ ML-KEM (Kyber, etc.) replaces Diffie-Hellman/X25519 for key exchange
 - ▶ ML-DSA (Dilithium, Falcon, etc.) replaces RSA/ECDSA for digital signatures



ALGORITHMS

- ▶ ML-DSA (FIPS 204)
 - ▶ **Module Lattice Digital Signature Algorithm**
 - ▶ Three levels: 44, 65, and 87
 - ▶ Based on, but not the same as "CRYSTALS-Dilithium"
 - ▶ Signatures are non-deterministic
 - ▶ Not linearly composable like Schnorr signatures (no current PQC DSA is)
- ▶ ML-KEM (FIPS 203)
 - ▶ **Module Lattice Key Encapsulation Mechanism**
 - ▶ Three levels: 512, 768, and 1024
 - ▶ Based on, but not the same as "CRYSTALS-Kyber"



IT'S ALL ABSTRACTED!

- ▶ Paradigms for signatures & for key encapsulation are uniform
 - ▶ Future-proofed because it's easy to make changes
- ▶ You can choose a signature method
 - ▶ ML-DSA, ECDSA, Ed25519, Schnorr, SSH
- ▶ You can choose an encryption method
 - ▶ ChaCha20-Poly1305, ML-KEM
- ▶ Not crypto-agile, but **crypto-agnostic**



NO SLH-DSA YET

- ▶ Stateless Hash-Based Digital Signature Standard (FIPS 205)
- ▶ Based on, but not the same as SPHINCS+

- ▶ It's very large!
- ▶ It's redundant with ML-DSA
- ▶ But easy to add due to abstraction



PQC CHALLENGES

- ▶ Quantum signatures are significantly slower & larger
- ▶ Hybrid Quantum is needed
 - ▶ Uses PQC to strengthen classic cryptography
 - ▶ Emerging approaches from Apple (PQ3) & Signal (PQXDH)
- ▶ Use PQC for initiation & periodic rotation
 - ▶ Use strong classic cryptography for ongoing usage
- ▶ Our recent work uses PQC for Symmetric Key Exchange
 - ▶ Then continues with ChaChaPoly
- ▶ We still need to release an envelope-cli that fully embraces PQC



COMPARISON OF STRUCTURE SIZES BETWEEN CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

	Private Key	Public Key	Size of Signature or Encapsulated Key	
Classical	BIP-340 Schnorr	32	32	64
	ECDSA	32	33	64
	Ed25519	32	32	64
Quantum	ML-DSA 44	2560	1312	2420
	ML-DSA 65	4032	1952	3309
	ML-DSA 87	4896	2592	4627
	X25519	32	32	32
	ML-KEM 512	1632	800	768
	ML-KEM 768	2400	1184	1088
	ML-KEM 1024	3168	1568	1568



COMPARISON OF STRUCTURE SIZES BETWEEN CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY



